

T-110.5190

Opponent tasks

Opponent Tasks

(After a full paper deadline)

- Each student will be named as an opponent to a fellow student
- List of opponents will be published in FullPapers-folder in Optima
- You have two weeks to read the article and write your comments (deadline 4.4.2007 at noon)
- Put your comments to FullPapers-folder in Optima and link them to the paper you are supposed to comment.

Comments

1. Language is understandable. If you do not understand something in the paper or something is very unclearly stated, say that in your comments.
 2. There are no mistakes when presenting facts.
 3. There are no missing things that should have been taken in to the paper.
 4. References are adequate and sources are traceable.
 5. Something that should be improved before the final paper that will be published in the course web pages in the end of the course.
-
- Remember to give constructive feedback! If you find mistakes or something that should be improved, justify your opinions and suggest who to make corrections. Say something positive.

Please read the instructions from the course web page:

<http://www.tml.tkk.fi/Opinnot/T-110.5190/2007/grading.html#full>

Opponent Tasks

(After a final paper deadline and during the conference)

- Read through the final paper of the same author and prepare a couple of questions for the author
- The opponent will participate the discussion after the presentation and ask the questions he/she prepared
- You should write down how well the presenter answered your questions. You should also write down comments and feedback on the presentation.

Please read the instructions from the course web page:

<http://www.tml.tkk.fi/Opinnot/T-110.5190/2007/grading.html#final>

Opponent Tasks

(After the conference)

- Opponent uploads his/her comments into Optima (Submissions/FinalOpponentReports folder) concerning the final paper after the conference dates together with the comments of the presentation.

The comments have to include following clearly:

1. An evaluation of both the presentation and the final paper:
 1. What was good in the presentation? What was good in the paper?
 2. What should be improved in the presentation? What should be improved in the paper?
 3. Were there clear mistakes in the presentation or in the paper?
2. The questions you asked and the answers given by the presenter
3. How and how well the presenter could answer the questions
4. Suppose a grade (using TKK's grading from 0-5) and justify the grade.

Please read the instructions from the course web page:

<http://www.tml.tkk.fi/Opinnot/T-110.5190/2007/grading.html#conference>